

The current rubrics comply with the 499 Course Information document on the course main webpage in specific weights to evaluate each web material submission, as updated on July 12, Summer 2016.

A	B	C	D	E	F
	Notes:				
	* Contributors/supervisors, names, dates, features & functions, project summary + copyrights at first glance				
	** Would it load with common browsers like in FireFox, etc.? How about content, flow, structure (sections), grammar, typos, size, simplicity vs. complexity?				
	*** Are plug-ins and webpage errors being generated? e.g., not experiencing <u>HTTP 404 page not found</u> status, and able to browse embedded links to current pages/directories? Is it location independent?				
	**** For example, is it presented in form of a YouTube demo, loadable power point presentation; a runnable simulation/prototype/applet on the webpage?				
	^ Not all specific comments will be given to students after evaluation unless for the parts where the group has scored below a pass, here < 2.0 out of 4.0.				

Enter value in D column

Group No	Web Presentation Specifics	Date	Scale (out of 4)	Presentation (out of 10%)	Comments [^]
x	Ontime file submission	28-July	4.0	10%	
	Product existence + ownership on Webpage*	15-Aug	3.0	8%	<i>Some people have not been mentioned (although copyrighted)</i>
	Theme appeal, banner, pictures, color & texture		3.0	8%	<i>Some buttons are not user friendly and takes time to get to the core product description/demo</i>
	Adequate product description (short)		3.5	9%	<i>Good description, a few terms have not been clarified for a public visitor</i>
	Readability/comprehensibility**		2.5	6%	<i>Some typos and grammar issues are visible and the structure doesn't have a summary and market description</i>
	Accessibility + Availability (not server issues)***		3.0	8%	<i>A few weblinks are dysfunctional</i>
	Product/project demo + use ****		1.5	4%	<i>Only one barely runnable online component presented</i>
	Product costs, prospects and/or marketing		2.0	5%	<i>A messy list of costs presented with little promising product sale/benchmark</i>
	Average			2.8	7%

A helpful weblink concerning HTTP errors:

- https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_HTTP_status_codes
- “Timeout errors” also fall in the range of 5xx server errors from the latter list