Midterm Update: Traffic Pattern Analysis and Comparison of Distributed Deep Learning Models

Li He V00972954

Project Overview

This project aims to analyze and compare traffic patterns in distributed deep learning training across various models and topologies. The goal is to explore the computational and communication costs associated with distributed training, with a focus on reducing overhead, minimizing costs, and optimizing traffic patterns to enhance overall system performance.

Progress So Far

Implementation and Testbed Setup

- Successfully set up an account on Alliance Canada and gained access to clusters Beluga, Cedar, Graham, Narval, and Niagara.
- Configured the JupyterHub environment for interactive development.
- Experiment with basic commands of the SLURM cluster management. SLURM (Simple Linux Utility for Resource Management) is the job scheduler that manages compute resources. It is responsible for efficiently allocating CPU/GPU nodes, handling job queues, and ensuring fair usage across users.

```
# Check available resources in the cluster
!sinfo
# run nvidia-smi slurm job with 1 node allocation
srun -N 1 nvidia-smi!
# run nvidia-smi slurm job with 2 node allocation.
!srun -N 2 nvidia-smi
#!/bin/bash
       #SBATCH −N 1
                                                  # Node count to be allocated for the job
       #SBATCH -- job-name=dli_firstSlurmJob
                                                  # Job name
       #SBATCH -o /dli/nemo/logs/%j.out  # Outputs log file
       #SBATCH -e /dli/nemo/logs/%j.err
                                              # Errors log file
                                                   # my SLURM script
       srun -1 my_script.sh
```

• Nvidia Deep Learning institute: Nvidia offer a deep learning workshop, it allocates a gpu for doing some experiments. Through those materials, I explored and utilized the available hardware configurations by gaining hands-on experience with job scheduling and resource allocation. Understanding the hardware resources accessible for computation: Figure 1 check the CPU information of the system using the lscpu command. Figure 2 check the information of GPUs. I will also begin running experiments on Alliance Canada, focusing on building and deploying large neural networks for the project.

!lscpu	
Architecture:	x86_64
CPU op-mode(s):	32-bit, 64-bit
Byte Order:	Little Endian
Address sizes:	48 bits physical, 48 bits virtual
CPU(s):	96
<u>On-line</u> CPU(s) list:	0-95

Figure 1: The CPU information of the system

NVID	IA-SMI !	535.10	94.12			Driver	Version: 535.104.12	CUDA Versio	on: 12.2
GPU Fan	Name Temp	Perf			ersiste wr:Usag		Bus-Id Disp.A Memory-Usage		
0 N/A	NVIDIA 38C	A100 P0			54W /		00000001:00:00.0 Off 4MiB / 81920MiB		Defaul Disable
1 N/A	NVIDIA 37C	A100 P0	80GB	PCIe		0n 300W	00000002:00:00.0 Off 4MiB / 81920MiB	0%	Defau Disable
	NVIDIA 40C	A100 P0	80GB		57W /		00000003:00:00.0 Off 4MiB / 81920MiB	0%	Defau Disable
3 N/A	NVIDIA 39C	A100 P0	80GB	PCIe		0n 300W	00000004:00:00.0 Off 4MiB / 81920MiB	0%	Defau Disable
Proc GPU	esses: GI ID	CI		PID	Туре	Proce	ss name		GPU Memor Usage

Figure 2: The information of GPUs

Scalable Training Strategies

Since this project focuses on Traffic Pattern Analysis and Comparison of Distributed Deep Learning Models, I also spent some time understanding scalable training strategies, aggregation algorithms, and communication synchronization. The efficiency of distributed training depends on how models, data, and computation are partitioned across multiple GPUs and nodes, directly impacting traffic patterns and system performance.

- Data Parallelism: 1, Replicate the entire model on each device. 2, Train all replicas simultaneously using different mini-batches. 3, Communication involves aggregating gradients (e.g., using all-reduce).
- Model Parallelism: 1, Split the model across multiple devices. 2, Suitable for large models that don't fit in memory.
- Aggregation Algorithms: 1, Centralized (Parameter Server): Workers report parameter updates to a central server. 2, Decentralized (All-Reduce): Workers exchange parameter updates directly. Decentralized (Gossip): Workers communicate updates with neighbors, achieving consistency at the end.
- Communication Synchronization: 1, Synchronous: Workers synchronize parameter updates after each iteration. Stragglers can impact system throughput. 2, Asynchronous: Workers transmit gradients to the parameter server without waiting for others. Eliminates synchronization but may introduce inconsistency.

Challenges Encountered

Module loading issues on the Alliance clusters (e.g., Python version conflicts). During the setup of TensorFlow under the myenv virtual environment on the Alliance Canada clusters, I faced the installation issue that impacted the ability to run distributed training experiments. I still need to find out what may caused that issue.

Next Steps

Implement further optimizations for distributed training and model parallelism. Conduct experiments on different clusters to compare network latencies. Develop a visualization dashboard for traffic pattern insights.